

**Berkeley Global Campus  
Community Working Group  
November 30 Community Briefing and Open House  
Housing and Displacement Comment Cards**

**Housing**

**Kathleen Wimer**

- Housing #2: No housing on the BGC – for everyone’s education, house students in the community & help local landlords.

**Zak Wear**

- Housing – linkage fee at regional median

**Joe Paleo**

- Housing #2: This is a very poor idea. Crates a drawbridge mentality in which people housed on campus don’t view themselves as members of, and therefore concerned with the Richmond community. Why would we set out at the very beginning to create a town-gown conflict. It is best to encourage and assist Cal employers to live in Richmond – not “on campus.

**No name**

- UC Berkeley should pay the median linkage fee for the Bay Area for non-residential development. Don’t let them cheat Richmond residents who are low and very-low income.

**John Penilla/Socialist organizer/ Student Labor Committee**

- Richmond will pass a housing linkage fee for non-residential development, UC will pay a fee equivalent to the bay area media.
- UC will make payments to help low-income & very-low income residents with affordable housing.

**Robert McCauley**

- No matter what UC does to mitigate it. Development will bring gentrification. In order to preserve the diverse nature of this community it is necessary to build subsidized affordable housing and a commitment to put money into affordability of the private housing stock. UC must also build housing for their support and operations staff. They will be the direct competitors for housing of working class

Richmond residents UC must commit to impact fees of at least \$15/sq foot of any development.

- We need a Community Benefits Agreement nota compact.

**No name**

- #1 adopt a 10 year rent increase freeze

**No name**

- Think you have done a great job in planning to freeze residents.

**Yolanda Vera**

- Housing: Pay linkage fee to fund anti-displacement, implement community land trust to have permanent affordable housing
- Monies paid to the city should go into funding low-income families to stabilize housing for families.

**Sara Graham? ACCE**

- #1: Anti-displacement fund: we must make sure affordable housing is created it is already lacking in Richmond. I have been on a low income waiting list in the city of Richmond for 2 years, living with others. Housing is one of the greatest issues in Richmond.
- #3: We have to find new ways to create housing for Richmond residents, if they are to make up 50% of our workforce then they have to be able to stay in their city. CLT is so important to make sure that the agreement made now will be alive for future generations of Richmond and Greater Richmond residents to benefit.

**Katie Krolkowski/Richmond Taxpayer: CCC faculty**

- #1: housing linkage fee: I worry about this being cumbersome and complex. I'd support #3 instead.
- #2: Build housing (faculty student): Neat idea! Do it!
- #3: Research support #3a, #3b: Absolutely! Use UC Berkeley/LBNL resources to tackle these complex, hard problems. I remember a similar approach for education subcommittee. The hardest problems are social ... let's put this campus to work in solving them.